UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,127	02/19/2004	Kwang-wook Oh	KCL0097US	2678
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 07/18/201 BURN LLP	EXAMINER		
20 Church Stree 22nd Floor	et	BEISNER, WILLIAM H		
Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/783,127	OH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		WILLIAM H. BEISNER	1775				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>04 M</i>	av 2011					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3)	, —		secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		n parto adaylo, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	70 0.a. 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-14 and 16-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5,7-14 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/783,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, Species i), in the reply filed on 6/27/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 3-5, 7-14 and 16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/27/2007. Note, Applicants did not state which claims encompass the elected species. As a result, it is determined that claims 6 and 15 correspond to elected species i) while claims 1 and 2 are generic. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 6 and 15 will be examined on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 1775

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b) or (e) as being anticipated by Stern (US 2002/0119536).

With respect to claim 1, the reference of Stern discloses a device capable of PCR (Figure 2) that includes an inlet (paragraph [0068] or section of channel (110) prior to intersection (170)); an outlet (section of channel (110) after intersection (180)); a PCR channel (section of channel (110) between intersection (170) and (180)); and a heat source (paragraph [0077]). Intersection channels (150) and (160) are structurally the same as recited first and second microvalves. The microvalve channels (150) and (160) are on the same plane as the PCR channel (110) and are perpendicular to the PCR channel as required of claim 1. Channels (150) and (160) are structurally capable of holding a sol-gel material and functioning as first and second micro-valves because the structure disclosed by the reference of Stern is structurally capable of being used with a sol-gel material positioned within the microchannels which function as microvalves.. Note "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d *>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)) (See MPEP 2115). The reference of Stern does not include additional heat sources and/or valves.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

With respect to claims 2 and 17-19, the structure of the device of Stern is structurally capable of being used with the material recited in claims 2 and 17-19.

Response to Arguments

- 5. With respect to the rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhao et al.(US 2002/0079219), this rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to the claims and related comments (See pages 6-8 of the response filed 5/4/11). However, new grounds of rejection have been made over the reference of Stern (US 2002/0119536).
- 6. With respect to the rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Koh et al.(Anal. Chem.), this rejection has been withdrawn in view of the certified English language translation of the foreign priority document filed 5/4/11. However, new grounds of rejection have been made over the reference of Stern (US 2002/0119536).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/783,127

Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM H. BEISNER whose telephone number is (571)272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael A. Marcheschi, can be reached on 571-272-1374. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,127 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1775

WHB